IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.R.UDAYABHANU

MONDAY, THE 11TH JUNE 2007 / 21ST JYAISHTA 1929

RP.No. 255 of 2005(P)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.30807/2003 Dated 01/02/2005

.....

PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS

---

1. LEELAMMA, PUTHANPARAMBU NIKARTHU,
THIRUNALLOOR MURI, PALLIPPURAM,
CHERTHALA.

2. PRABHAKARAN, DO. DO.

BY ADV. SRI.J.OM PRAKASH

RESPONDENT: PETITIONER

V.V.HARIDAS, VELAMPARAMBU,
VADAKKUM MURI, CHERTHALA VADAKKU VILLAGE.

BY ADV. SRI.G.P.SHINOD
SRI.RAM MOHAN G.
SRI.MANU V.
SRI.JOHN JUDE ISSAC
BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 11/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

K.R.UDAYABHANU, J

R.P.No.255 of 2005

Dated this the 11th day of June, 2007

O R D E R

The petitioner is the respondent in writ petition No. 30807/2003 of this court.

2. It is the grievance of the review petitioner that at the time when the matter was considered, the fact that the respondents had got the title deeds executed through court and for the above purpose a sum of Rs.10,200/- was spent for stamp duty etc. was omitted to be brought to the notice of this court. This court had granted time to the respondent to pay costs and the same resulted in setting aside the exparte decree.

3. I find that the above fact can be considered by the court below at the time of disposing the matter.

With the above direction, the review petition is disposed of.

K.R.UDAYABHANU,
JUDGE

csl